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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUM</th>
<th>FECHA</th>
<th>ENVIADO A</th>
<th>REFERIDO POR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>29/06/2009</td>
<td>Sra. Rectora</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>29/06/2009</td>
<td>Dra. Maria Ojeda</td>
<td>Irreversibles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td>Director DAA - S Butler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**REMITENTE:** Peter F. Burnham  
**FECHA:** 26/06/2009

**FACULTAD/DECANATO:**

**UNIDAD/DEPTO:** Middle States Commission on Higher Education

**POR CONDUCTO:**

**EXPEDIENTE:**

**TEMA:**

**ASUNTO:** Le informa que en reunión del 25 de junio de 2009, la Middle States Commission on Higher Education decidió aceptar el PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT el cual vence el 1 de junio de 2010. Incluye para su información copia del Statement of Accreditation Status para la Institución.

**NOTAS:** Se refiere al DAA para verificar el "Statement Accreditation Status" (SAS).

**ACCION:**
- Contestar para la firma del Rector  
- Contestar directamente y someter copia  
- Someter Reconocimientos al Rector  
- Acción Correspondiente  
- Para su información

**FECHA LIMITE:**
June 26, 2009

Dr. Gladys Escalona de Motta
Chancellor
UPR - Rio Piedras Campus
P. O. Box 23300
San Juan, PR 00931-3300

Dear Dr. Escalona de Motta:

At its session on June 25, 2009, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education acted:

To accept the progress letter. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Statement of Accreditation Status for your institution. The Statement of Accreditation Status (SAS) provides important basic information about the institution and its affiliation with the Commission, and it is made available to the public in the Directory of Members and Candidates on the Commission’s website at www.msche.org. Accreditation applies to the institution as detailed in the SAS; institutional information is derived from data provided by the institution through annual reporting and from Commission actions. If any of the institutional information is incorrect, please contact the Commission as soon as possible.

Please check to ensure that published references to your institution’s accredited status (catalog, other publications, web page) include the full name, address, and telephone number of the accrediting agency. Further guidance is provided in the Commission’s policy statement Advertising, Student Recruitment, and Representation of Accredited Status. If the action for your institution includes preparation of a progress letter, monitoring report or supplemental report, please see our policy statement on Follow-up Reports and Visits. Both policies can be obtained from our website.

Please be assured of the continuing interest of the Commission on Higher Education in the well-being of UPR - Rio Piedras Campus. If any further clarification is needed regarding the SAS or other items in this letter, please feel free to contact Dr. Luis G. Pedraja, Vice President.

Sincerely,

Peter F. Burnham
Chair

C: Lcdo. Antonio Garcia Padilla, President, University of Puerto Rico Central Administration
    Mr. Justo Reyes-Torres, Executive Director, Puerto Rico Council on Higher Education

The Middle States Commission on Higher Education accredits institutions of higher education in Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and other locations abroad.
STATEMENT OF ACCREDITATION STATUS

UPR - RIO PIEDRAS CAMPUS
P. O. Box 23300
San Juan, PR 00931-3300
Phone: (787) 764-0000; Fax: (787) 764-8799
www.uprrp.edu

Chief Executive Officer: Dr. Gladys Escalona de Motta, Chancellor

System: University of Puerto Rico Central Administration
Lcdo. Antonio Garcia Padilla, President
G.P.O. Box 4984-G
San Juan, PR 00936
Phone: (787) 759-6061; Fax: (787) 759-6917

INSTITUTIONAL INFORMATION

Enrollment (Headcount): 15186 Undergraduate; 3467 Graduate
Control: Public
Affiliation: State and Local
Carnegie Classification: Research - High Research Activity
Degrees Offered: Certificate/Diploma, Bachelor's, Master's, 1st Professional Degree, Doctoral
Distance Learning Programs: Yes (approved for the following program(s): School Library
Accreditors Approved by U.S. Secretary of Education: American Bar Association (ABA),
Section on Legal Education and Admission to the Bar; American Dietetic Association,
Commission on Accreditation for Dietetics Education (CADE); American Library
Association (ALA), Committee on Accreditation; Council on Rehabilitation Education
(CORE); Council on Social Work Education (CSWE); National Architecture Accrediting
Board (NAAB); National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE);
Planning Accreditation Board (PAB)

Instructional Locations

Branch Campuses: None
**Additional Locations:** Abbott Laboratories, Barceloneta, PR; Pfizer Pharmaceutical Company, Barceloneta, PR; UPR Humacao, Humacao, PR.

**Other Instructional Sites:** None

**ACCREDITATION INFORMATION**

**Status:** Member since 1946

**Last Reaffirmed:** June 22, 2005

**Most Recent Commission Action:**

June 25, 2009: To accept the progress letter. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

**Brief History Since Last Comprehensive Evaluation:**

June 22, 2005: To reaffirm accreditation. To request a monitoring report due by November 1, 2006, documenting (1) progress made in the implementation of a comprehensive institutional strategic plan which links long-range planning to decision making and budgeting processes; (2) implementation of a written plan for the assessment of institutional effectiveness; and (3) progress toward the implementation of a new undergraduate curriculum. A small team visit may follow submission of the report. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

June 28, 2007: To accept the monitoring report and to thank the institution for receiving the Commission's representatives. To request a progress letter due April 1, 2008, documenting steps taken to strengthen general education, including implementation of the new general studies plan. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

January 2, 2008: To acknowledge receipt of the substantive change request and to provisionally include the additional location at the Pfizer Pharmaceutical Company plant located in Barceloneta, Puerto Rico, within the scope of the institution's accreditation pending a site visit within six months. To remind the institution that a progress letter is due April 1, 2008, documenting steps taken to strengthen general education, including implementation of the new general studies plan. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

April 30, 2008: To acknowledge receipt of the substantive change request and to include the additional location at UPR Humacao within the scope of the institution's accreditation. To note that a visit to the additional location at the Pfizer Pharmaceutical Company plant located in Barceloneta, Puerto Rico has occurred and that the Commission will act at on the visit at its June 26, 2008 meeting. The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

June 26, 2008: To accept the progress letter submitted by the institution.

June 26, 2008: To thank the institution for receiving its representative and to affirm the decision to include the additional location at the Pfizer Pharmaceutical
plant located in Barceloneta, Puerto Rico, within the scope of the institution's accreditation. To request a progress letter, due April 1, 2009, documenting the implementation of a documented process to assess the achievement of student learning goals for the M.B.A. program, including evidence that student learning assessment information is used to improve teaching and learning (Standard 14). The Periodic Review Report is due June 1, 2010.

**Next Self-Study Evaluation:** 2014 - 2015

**Next Periodic Review Report:** 2010

**Date Printed:** June 26, 2009

**DEFINITIONS**

**Branch Campus** - A location of an institution that is geographically apart and independent of the main campus of the institution. The location is independent if the location: offers courses in educational programs leading to a degree, certificate, or other recognized educational credential; has its own faculty and administrative or supervisory organization; and has its own budgetary and hiring authority.

**Additional Location** - A location, other than a branch campus, that is geographically apart from the main campus and at which the institution offers at least 50 percent of an educational program.

**Other Instructional Sites** - A location, other than a branch campus or additional location, at which the institution offers one or more courses for credit.

**Distance Learning Programs** - Yes or No indicates whether or not the institution has been approved to offer one or more degree or certificate/diploma programs for which students could meet 50% or more of their requirements by taking distance learning courses.

**EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION ACTIONS**

An institution's accreditation continues unless it is explicitly suspended or removed. In addition to reviewing the institution's accreditation status at least every 5 years, actions are taken for substantive changes (such as a new degree or geographic site, or a change of ownership) or when other events occur that require review for continued compliance. Any type of report or visit required by the Commission is reviewed and voted on by the Commission after it is completed.

In increasing order of seriousness, a report by an institution to the Commission may be accepted, acknowledged, or rejected.

**Levels of Actions:**

Grant or **Re-Affirm Accreditation** without follow-up

**Defer a decision on initial accreditation:** The institution shows promise but the evaluation team has identified issues of concern and recommends that the institution be given a specified time period to address those concerns.

**Postpone a decision on (reaffirmation of) accreditation:** The Commission has determined that there is insufficient information to substantiate institutional compliance with one or more standards.
Continue accreditation: A delay of up to one year may be granted to ensure a current and accurate representation of the institution or in the event of circumstances beyond the institution’s control (natural disaster, U.S. State Department travel warnings, etc.)

Recommendations to be addressed in the next Periodic Review Report: Suggestions for improvement are given, but no follow-up is needed for compliance.

Supplemental Information Report: This is required when a decision is postponed and are intended only to allow the institution to provide further information, not to give the institution time to formulate plans or initiate remedial action.

Progress letter: The Commission needs assurance that the institution is carrying out activities that were planned or were being implemented at the time of a report or on-site visit.

Monitoring report: There is a potential for the institution to become non-compliant with MSCHE standards; issues are more complex or more numerous; or issues require a substantive, detailed report. A visit may or may not be required.

Warning: The Commission acts to warn an institution that its accreditation may be in jeopardy when the institution is not in compliance with one or more Commission standards and a follow-up report, called a monitoring report, is required to demonstrate that the institution has made appropriate improvements to bring itself into compliance. Warning indicates that the Commission believes that, although the institution is out of compliance, the institution has the capacity to make appropriate improvements within a reasonable period of time and the institution has the capacity to sustain itself in the long term.

Probation: The Commission places an institution on Probation when, in the Commission’s judgment, the institution is not in compliance with one or more Commission standards and that the non-compliance is sufficiently serious, extensive, or acute that it raises concern about one or more of the following:

1. the adequacy of the education provided by the institution;
2. the institution’s capacity to make appropriate improvements in a timely fashion; or
3. the institution’s capacity to sustain itself in the long term.

Probation is often, but need not always be, preceded by an action of Warning or Postponement. If the Commission had previously postponed a decision or placed the institution on Warning, the Commission may place the institution on Probation if it determines that the institution has failed to address satisfactorily the Commission’s concerns in the prior action of postponement or warning regarding compliance with Commission standards. This action is accompanied by a request for a monitoring report, and a special visit follows. Probation may, but need not always, precede an action of Show Cause.

Suspend accreditation: Accreditation has been Continued for one year and an appropriate evaluation is not possible. This is a procedural action that would result in Removal of Accreditation if accreditation cannot be reaffirmed within the period of suspension.

Show cause why the institution’s accreditation should not be removed: The institution is required to present its case for accreditation by means of a substantive report and/or an on-site evaluation. A “Public Disclosure Statement” is issued by the Commission.

Remove accreditation: If the institution appeals this action, its accreditation remains in effect until the appeal is completed.

Other actions are described in the Commission policy, "Range of Commission Actions on Accreditation."